1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2 3	JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Arizona Corporation Commission
	Commissioner DOCKETED
4	MARC SPITZER
5	Commissioner JUL 2 9 2005 MIKE GLEASON
6	Commissioner KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner
7	Commissioner
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NO. G-02696A-05-0390
9	OF ENERGY WEST, INC. – FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A PURCHASED GAS DECISION NO68028
9	APPROVAL OF A FUNCHASED GAS
10	ADJUSTOR SURCHARGE ORDER
11	
12	Open Meeting
14	July 28, 2005
13	Phoenix, Arizona
14	BY THE COMMISSION:
15	FINDINGS OF FACT
16	1. Energy West, Inc. ("Energy West" or "Company") is engaged in provi
17	within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation (

- ding propane Commission.
- On June 1, 2005, Energy West filed for Commission approval of a purchased gas adjuster surcharge. The filing seeks a surcharge of \$0.1525 per therm from July 2005 to June 2006.
- As the timing of the June 1, 2005 filling did not allow Staff sufficient time to 3. prepare for consideration of the matter at the Open Meeting of June 14, 2005, Staff requested and the Commission approved a 90 day suspension of the time-clock in this matter at the Open Meeting of June 14, 2005 in Decision No. 67943.
- Since the spring of 2000, market prices for propane have shown increased fluctuations and general price increases, with the exception of some price normalization in the winter of 2001/2002. Propane prices are expected to remain high through at least spring of 2006.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 5. Decision No. 61225 of October 1998 established a Purchased Gas Adjustor ("PGA") bank balance threshold of \$120,000 for Energy West. Decision No. 61225 required that should the bank balance exceed the threshold, the Company file for a rate adjustment or alternatively obtain approval from the Utilities Division Director to not seek a rate adjustment.
- 6. Energy West's bank balance has been in excess of the \$120,000 threshold since the spring of 2000.
- 7. On November 13, 2003, Energy West filed an application with the Commission seeking a surcharge of \$0.0466 per therm to be implemented from January 2004 through June of 2005. The Commission approved the \$0.0466 surcharge in Decision No. 66643. In spite of implementation of the surcharge, the undercollection in Energy West's PGA bank balance continued to grow during the period of implementation of the \$0.0466 surcharge.
 - 8. Energy West's May 2005 bank balance is nearly \$806,000 in undercollection.
 - 9. The \$0.0466 surcharge that has been in place expired in June 2005.
- 10. The Company does not expect the undercollection to be reduced without a surcharge.
- 11. As a result of the inability to consider the filing of June 1, 2005 on the June 14, 2005 Open Meeting, Staff asked that Energy West provide to Staff a new projection of the effects of a surcharge implementation on the PGA bank balance given an August implementation of a surcharge rather than a July implementation of a new surcharge.
- 12. Energy West has supplied such projections to Staff. Based on these new projections and implementation of a surcharge of \$0.1525, the PGA bank balance will reduce to \$108,148 by July 2006. At this level, the July 2006 ending balance will be below the \$120,000 threshold.
- 13. While a \$0.1525 per therm surcharge will not fully amortize the balance it will significantly reduce the undercollected balance over a period of 12 months based on the Company's projections for future propane cost and consumption.
- 14. Other surcharge options and the projected balances that they result in can be seen in the following table:

Table I

Surcharge Option	Projected July 2006 Ending Balance
\$0.10	\$317,857
\$0.12	\$237,968
\$0.1525	\$108,148
\$0.18	-\$1,699

15. Should a surcharge of \$0.1525 be implemented, the estimated average residential customer's January 2006 bill would be \$161.62. With no surcharge the estimated bill would be \$148.81. The difference in these bills is \$12.81 or approximately an 8.5 percent increase. January is used in this example as it is typically the peak use month and thus likely represents the largest monthly impact to an average residential user.

- 16. It is also helpful to consider the proposed surcharge in terms of the \$0.0466 per therm surcharge that has been in place from January 2004 until recently. Had the \$0.0466 surcharge that terminated in June of 2005 been maintained, the estimated average residential customer's January 2006 bill would have been \$152.73. That being the case, the projected \$161.62 bill that would result from the proposed \$0.1525 surcharge would be an increase of \$8.89 or 5.8 percent over the bill that would have resulted from continuation of the \$0.0466 surcharge. These figures assume Energy West's projection for January 2006's PGA rolling average rate and average residential therm use in January 2006 is similar to January 2005.
- 17. Projections for average residential customers' January 2006 bills based on various surcharge options are shown in the following table. These figures assume average usage of 84 therms. Also included in the table are comparisons of these projected bills to the projected \$152.73 January 2006 bill that would have resulted from continuation of the \$0.0466 surcharge. These comparisons are expressed both in dollar value and percentage differences.

28 | .

Table II

Surcharge Option	Projected January 2006 Bill	Increase Over Projected Bill With \$0.0466 Surcharge	% Increase Over Projected Bill With \$0.0466 Surcharge
\$0.10	\$157.21	\$4.48	2.93%
\$0.12	\$158.89	\$6.16	4.03%
\$0.1525	\$161.62	\$8.89	5.82%
\$0.18	\$163.93	\$11.20	7.33%

18. Projections for residential customers' January 2006 bills based on various therm use levels are shown in the following table. The figures shown are based on residential customers who use 75 percent, 100 percent, 125 percent, and 150 percent of the projected average residential customer's January 2006 therm usage. Also included in the table are comparisons of these projected bills to the same bills that would occur with the \$0.0466 surcharge. These comparisons are expressed both in dollar value and percentage differences.

Table III

Number of Therms Used	% of Average Therm Use	Projected January 2006 Bill With \$0.0466 Surcharge	Projected January 2006 Bill With \$0.1525 Surcharge	Increase Over Projected Bill With \$0.0466 Surcharge	% Increase Over Projected Bill With \$0.0466 Surcharge
63	75%	\$115.79	\$122.46	\$6.67	5.8%
84	100%	\$152.73	\$161.62	\$8.89	5.8%
105	125%	\$189.65	\$200.77	\$11.12	5.9%
126	150%	\$226.58	\$239.93	\$13.34	5.9%

- 19. Given current propane market conditions, it is likely that propane prices will remain at high levels through at least the spring of 2006.
- 20. Staff has reviewed Energy West's projections for future cost, consumption, and other estimations used in determining an appropriate surcharge and finds them to be conservative but reasonable for purposes of setting the surcharge.

21. A PGA surcharge of \$0.1525 per therm appears to be a reasonable step in addressing the problem of high propane prices and the Company's PGA bank balance undercollection.

22. While many surcharge options are available, Staff recommends approval of a \$0.1525 per therm surcharge for the period from August 2005 through July 2006.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Energy West is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Energy West and the subject matter of the application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated July 8, 2005, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the filing to apply a \$0.1525 per therm surcharge to the rates of Energy West, Inc. from August 2005 through July 2006.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Energy West do
2	July 2006, it shall file to do so no later than April 30, 2006.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision sha
4	
5	
6	BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORP
7	
8	CHAIRMAN C
9	CHAIRIVIAIN
10	
	Mer Lande Steam
11	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
12	IN WITNESS WHER
13	Secretary of the Ar
14	hereunto, set my har Commission to be a
15	Phoenix, this <u>294</u>
16	
17	
18	DULAN C. MANUELL
19	BRIAN C. McNEII/ Executive Secretary
20	
21	DISSENT:
22	
23	DISSENT:
24	EGJ:SPI:lhm\JG
25	
26	
27	
28	

Page 8

SERVICE LIST FOR: Energy West Arizona DOCKET NO. G-02696A-05-0390

2

Mr. Douglas R. Mann

4 President

Energy West Arizona

5 200 West Longhorn Road

Payson, Arizona 85541

6

7

8

9

Mr. Ernest G. Johnson

Director, Utilities Division

Arizona Corporation Commission

1200 West Washington

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10

11

12

Mr. Christopher C. Kempley

Chief Counsel

Arizona Corporation Commission

1200 West Washington

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28